

The 11th October, 1982

No. ID/RTK/84/82/46462.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Haryana Industries 432, Rohtak Road, Bahadurgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana, considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter(s) specified below, being either matter(s) in dispute or matter(s) relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

1. Whether the workmen are entitled to grant of Bonus @ 20% for the year 1980-81?
If so, with what details?

2. Whether the attendance cards, should be issued to the workman? If so, with what details?

M. KUTTAPPAN,

Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour and Employment Departments.

The 8th October, 1982

No. ID/SPT/101/82/46336.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Suraj Bhan and the management of the Chief Engineer "OP" Haryana State Electricity Board, Chandigarh, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing:

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated the 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated the 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matters in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Suraj Bhan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/38/82/46343.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagdish Chand and the management of Haryana Roadways, Rewari, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jagdish Chand was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/42/82/46350.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jagdish Sharma and the management of M/s. Deepak International, Jhajjar Road, Bahadurgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Jagdish Sharma was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?